

THE LIBERTY PRESS

"Only a free and unrestrained press can effectively expose deception in government. And paramount among the responsibilities of a free press is the duty to prevent any part of the government from Deceiving the People"

Hugo L. Black, U.S. Supreme Court Justice 1937-1971

JURISDICTIONARY TIPS AND TACTICS

JURISDICTIONARY TIPS AND TACTICS - is the start of a new item for our paper. Tips and Tactics will appear in each new issue. For more information on Jurisdictionary write to us at: Liberty New Mexico P.O. Box 2743 Deming, N.M. 88031. Order the Jurisdictionary course through our publication and save. Send check, money order or visa/master card information, including exp. date for \$199 (Regular \$219) and begin your own personal journey to freedom. To join our local Jurisdictionary Club call 575-546-6171 for more information

Allegations & Proof

To win your lawsuit:

Allege all the Facts

Prove all the Facts

Puppet shows for the jury don't work!

You must allege all the facts in your pleadings and then use your 5 pre-trial discovery tools to prove all the facts you alleged.

It's just that simple ... as our Jurisdictionary course shows.

Alleging all the necessary facts is like drawing plans for a workshop project. You make a detailed drawing of all the parts and how they fit together. Expert workmen always begin with a plan, then they follow their plan.

Pleadings are your lawsuit blueprint ... whether you're a plaintiff or defendant. Pleadings are the tool you use to allege all the facts that support your case. They give you and the court a clear vision of the final result you seek. In your blueprint pleadings you set out the facts that support the legal basis that requires the court to rule in your favor.

Failure to start with powerful pleadings always results in a weak case and foreseeable failure in court.

Your pleadings' weakness is the other side's strength.

If you're a plaintiff, the blueprint is a "complaint" in which you allege all ultimate facts necessary to support all essential elements of your cause(s) of action (what federal courts call a "claim on which the court can grant relief"). You make it clear that the court is obligated to rule in your favor if you prove your alleged facts by the greater weight of admissible evidence using your 5 pre-trial discovery tools.

If you're a defendant, your blueprint is an "affirmative defense" in which you allege all ultimate facts necessary to support all essential elements of your defenses. You counter the plaintiff's allegations of fact with allegations of your own. Prove the facts of your affirmative defenses by the greater weight of admissible evidence, using your 5 pre-trial discovery tools, and you win!

It's really that simple with Jurisdictionary.

In more than 22 years as a practicing attorney, however, I met only a few lawyers who understood this simple truth about litigation. They rattled sabers, postured, pretended, wore extremely expensive suits, and resorted to underhanded trickery and outright deceit. I won in spite of their crooked tactics, because I knew the simple basics we give you in our affordable, step-by-step Jurisdictionary self-help for non-lawyers course. Most pro se people (non-lawyers going to court on their own) draft pleadings as if they were writing a "letter to the judge", weaken their case at the very start by failing to lay out a powerfully complete blueprint for their proofs, then lose because they don't know how to use their 5 pre-trial evidence discovery tools. Jurisdictionary shows you how to effectively allege all the facts you need to win, and then shows you how to use your 5 pre-trial discovery tools to prove those facts with the greater weight of admissible evidence.

Continued on Page 6

What is the REAL Reason for Failing Education In Deming Public Schools?

It would seem the Deming Public School District could care less about the opinions of the voters and taxpayers in Luna County.

Over the past 4 years, this administration has put the taxpayers of Luna County in debt over \$8 Million. This amount includes \$312,000 for the renovations and remodeling at Memorial Elementary School, \$267,771 for replacing the roof at Chaparral Elementary School, \$4,050,214 for the construction of the new Columbus Elementary School, and \$2,645,538 for the new Ruben Torres Elementary School opening this year. This does NOT include the 2003 Bond Election for \$5.7 Million for the building of Bataan Elementary and Red Mountain Middle Schools. Tack that on to the total and the taxpayers of Luna County are already paying off more than \$12,975,500 in 'School Bonds' over the past 5 years. Add to that any balances from previous bonds and approximately 45% of all property taxes goes to the school district for bond payments as of the last approved bond election in 2006.

According to the Public School Capitol Outlay Council, in June 2006, the Deming Public School District bonding capacity was maxed out. There was no more room in the taxpayer base for another bond issue in any amount.

Does this mean DPS is going to give the taxpayers a break for a while? Oh, NO - they came back in 2007 and started campaigning for a new high school. To the tune of an additional \$19 MILLION!!! More than double our cur-

rent debt.

Interestingly, the 2006 tax assessments sent out by the Luna County Assessor's Office, almost doubled, and in some cases tripled, the values of properties across the board, thus increasing the tax base and thereby allowing room in the tax base for another bond by the school district.

The current rate of escalation in gas prices, which is affecting food costs, housing costs, energy costs, and just about everything else in the daily lives of our citizens is NOT being offset by a similar escalation in wages. In fact, unemployment is on the rise. Homes are being lost to foreclosure in record numbers across the country.

Here in Luna County, we are experiencing a bit of a housing boom due to the influx of new residents. DPS is attempting to use this population increase as a reason for a need for a new high school. However, in their own studies presented in their Facilities Master Plan Update presented to the PSCOC in 2007, they stated "... while state wide, 19.5% of the population was between the ages of 20 and 34, in the district area, only 14.5% of the population was in this age range. This difference could indicate a smaller school-age population in the future, as fewer people are having children. Younger parents and larger households may balance this somewhat, but this segment of the population remains relatively small."

Continued on Page 8

LOCAL MAN PAYS RENT IN ADVANCE - SUED BY GREEDY LANDLORD

I had the opportunity to observe a very interesting case in District Court (Judge Jefferies) on Wednesday, June 25th. I went to watch, because I felt that what I would see, would be a perfect example of what greed and power can do to people.

A man, wanting to live out his life in peace, moved to Deming several years ago, bought a mobile home and had it placed on a space owned by Barretts R.V. Park. A rental contract was signed by the owner, conferring "rights" to this space for a period of five years, with limited increases (dependent on property tax increases) in the rent to be paid.

Along comes the son to assume ownership of this very same Park and decides he could get four R.V. spaces in this same area, so he decides to "wiggle" out of this lease, by seemingly deceitful methods.

Bringing me back to the reason I just had to go to watch this case. It seems that the young Mr. Barrett is not such a great bookkeeper, as he is trying to get this renter (forced by a judge) to put up a bond to guarantee payment which Mr. Barrett claims is in arrears. The interesting evidence in this case is the fact that our humble renter has canceled checks to prove rental payments are already paid through September 1st. of this year.

Why would someone in a former position of authority, (code enforcement officer, promoted to several newly created cushy county positions) in Luna County, try so hard to throw his weight around in this manner? Is this man missing his former position of "bully number one" with Code Enforcement? Perhaps this is a carry back to Mr. Barretts statement that "if you don't make at least \$70,000.00, we don't want you in Luna County"? Of course this statement was made in a bar when he was still trying for his merit badge in brown nosing.

I truly felt sorry for Mr. Barretts attorney, as he had to realize that his client was not very forthcoming with the facts of this particular case. The question that seemed to put the cork back in the bottle was, "Is that your signature?"

The comment overheard after this case was finished (made by Mr. Barrett) "If he (defendant) would have showed us those cancelled checks before, we wouldn't have had to waste all this time." Well, DUH! The checks were paid to Mr. Barrett, he brought the suit, which means the burden of proof lies with him.

I suggest everyone spend a day or two visiting the Courts in this City. It is very educational for one thing, and for another it's not a bad idea to get comfortable in these very different surroundings, as the day may come that someone decides to try and place the "burden of proof" on your shoulders.

Yours in Liberty; Gordon Mast

THE VOICE OF
NEW MEXICO
75 CENTS

"So long as the people do not care to exercise their freedom, those who wish to tyrannize will do so; for tyrants are active and ardent, and will devote themselves in the name of any number of gods, religious and otherwise, to put shackles upon sleeping men" : Voltaire.

A LOOK INSIDE: PERSPECTIVES
Where Your Opinion is Heard
Page 2

COMMENTARIES
"Food For Thought" From Some Very Thought Provoking Columnists
Page 3

JOEL TURTEL
"Do Children Have A "Right" to an Education?"
MICHAEL SHAW
"The Unalienable Right to Use One's Property"

HEALTH AND NUTRITION
Page 4
"Western Medicine Fails Tim Russert"
By Byron J. Richards

JURISDICTIONARY TIPS AND TACTICS
Page 1
FYI
"The Science of the U.N."
By Arthur Robinson
Page 5

ART AND COMMUNITY
"A Letter to the Department of Public Safety" by Paul Borunda
Page 6

LIBERTY PRESS PUT ON HOLD!

Putting out a newspaper is a costly affair, that has taken it's toll on my limited finances, so I am forced to put the Liberty Press on hold for an indefinite period of time. This will be the last issue until financial status is changed. I am so sorry.

Anyone wanting a refund may request it by writing to me at PO BOX 2743 Deming, NM 88031 and it will be sent out. Anyone not requesting a refund within 30 days; I will consider any remaining balance to be a donation to the continued fight for Liberty in New Mexico.

Liberty New Mexico will continue its battle against tyranny and will still be needing any and all financial support that you can muster. Freedom Rallies, featuring Patriots from across this great nation, and legal education will still be hosted by Liberty New Mexico. Information regarding these Rallies and classes, along with other patriotic information will be posted on the web site; www.libertynewmexico.com Please do not stop defying tyranny. Together, we can make a difference. Those of you wanting a copy (4 dvd set) of our April rally may send a suggested donation of \$35.00 to Liberty New Mexico, po box 2743. Deming, NM 88031, and we will get it sent right out. Thank you for any and all support.
Yours in Liberty, Gordon Mast

Perspectives: Where Your Opinion Is Heard

Just a Thought:
From Our Editor

LET'S TALK ABOUT COUNTY COMMISSIONERS

Yes, let's get everything out in the open. I was not the least bit interested in what went on in our local County Government until some joker in this County decided we needed a "County Manager", and even then, I didn't get too alarmed. Perhaps the county corporate needed some managing. Who was I too say?

Now, I realize that after the position of sheriff, (the second most powerful position in any county), the county commissioner's positions are the third most powerful in the county. Why? You ask. The answer is very simple. It's because they are ELECTED and placed in these positions by the most powerful position in the county; We the People.

Have you got the chain of command down pat in your thought processes? Let's take a look now at how this is SUPPOSED to work: We the People, tell our County Commissioner(s) what we want done (as they are our public servants; right?), they in turn tell the County Manager what the people want accomplished and this person, who is a mere hireling, gets his backside in gear and does what the Commissioners told him to do on behalf of "We the People" so he/she (county manager) can continue to collect his/her paycheck. Sounds good so far.

The person hired to the position of county manager by the commissioners, being somewhat intelligent, protects himself with a fairly "cushy" contract. He wouldn't want to go through all the work of doing the bidding of We the People, through the direct orders of the commissioners and just get fired after the tasks requested are accomplished. No one would blame an individual for this, not even me. This contract basically says that his/her job can only be terminated for cause or failure to perform, or some such wording of this nature, or he/she gets a great deal of money from the public coffers. Again, who would blame anyone for this? Not I. Question: Would not a direct order from your superiors, that is not carried out be considered failure to perform? Just a thought.

Along come the people wanting to commit to being a public servant, for what ever reason, and put their name down on the record to run for office. Here comes the part that is very questionable. Campaign promises fly like baseballs in October, and like these October baseballs, most don't last passed first base, but the promises are still put out there, many very carefully worded to make the unsuspecting, potential voter believe that this is the one person that will represent me the best of all the other promise makers, or maybe it's just a friend or relative.

We the people flock (it's my story) to the polls, we are so excited, so enthralled with joy and expectations of hope; our candidate WON! Now we will see some changes made, now we will get our property rights returned, now our God given rights will finally be respected and all will be right in our little corner of the world.

But wait, what is our elected public servant doing? That isn't what he/she said before convincing me to give up my vote to him/her! How can this be? You made a promise. You dirty rotten @\$%^\$.

Does this sound familiar? Do you want it to continue? Will you join with others in this community to put a stop to the empty campaign promises? We can once again be the power force in this community. We know the chain of command. It is up to "WE THE PEOPLE" to repair each and every broken link in this chain.

Flex your muscles in the voting booth. It will take time to straighten out what has been put in place over the last few decades, but waiting will only put another generation in the same, or worse predicament, we must start now or continue to be slaves to our own servants.

Recent postings on the Deming Headlight Forum brought attention to a statement by our County Manager, Scott Vinson made during a County Commissioners meeting, and I quote: "there will be no involvement with Mr. Kellan on this project." This statement refers to the Entertainment Center, now being built under the watchful eye of none other than the same Mr. Kellan referred to by our County Manager. This same Mr. Kellan has been bouncing back and forth through the checkbooks of Luna County personnel for quite some time (Mr. Holdridge being a former investor in this project when it was to be a private venture).

Why are there such blatant discrepancies when it comes to our County Commissioners? Why does one Commissioner bring up the fact that a certain contractor, used extensively by Luna County is listed on the New Mexico sexual offender web site and then the same commissioner says this persons listing was all "a mistake"? I say BULL! Why was this brought up and then buried by the same commissioner if there was indeed a mistake? The people whose tax dollars are paying for these projects have a right to know if our hard earned dollars are being spent promoting sexual deviancy.

What else is being covered up and protected by a few that feel they have the power to do so? Remember the chain of command? Let's get all the links repaired.

Yours in Liberty: Gordon Mast

From my grandfather's father, [I learned] to dispense with attendance at public schools, and to enjoy good teachers at home, and to recognize that on such things money should be eagerly spent.

Marcus Aurelius Antoninus (Roman Emperor from March 7, A.D. 161 to March 17, A.D. 180), Meditations Book I, verse 4

Allow the President to invade a neighboring nation, whenever he shall deem it necessary to repel an invasion, and you allow him to do so, whenever he may choose to say he deems it necessary for such a purpose -- and you allow him to make war at pleasure. If today, he should choose to say he thinks it necessary to invade Canada, to prevent the British from invading us, how could you stop him? You may say to him, 'I see no probability of the British invading us' but he will say to you, 'Be silent; I see it, if you don't.'": Abraham Lincoln.

If you think of yourselves as helpless and ineffectual, it is certain that you will create a despotic government to be your master. The wise despot, therefore, maintains among his subjects a popular sense that they are helpless and ineffectual." : Frank Herbert

SAD TIMES IN OUR COUNTRY

We are truly living in sad times. Christianity has a new definition, Christ is certainly not allowed to be included in this version. Some people call themselves Christians, yet condone the practice of sodomy.

The Bible is very clear on this practice, yet our churches seem to turn a blind eye to it, in order to be politically correct. Is this because the most popular cancer in the entire world has been allowed to spread for such a long time. Allowed to exist so long, that it has engulfed our spiritual leaders?

The cancer I speak of is "GREED". Yes, I'm afraid that these churches have lost control of their ability to lead without the permission of big government. Without even a fight these religious establishments have succumbed to a vile, evil, satanically controlled bully, called government. Why do our Churches continually ask permission from the government to be a church? What is it they gain?

When a religious organization applies for 501-c-(3) status, they automatically are controlled by government, not God. Does this corporate status gain you favor with God? NO!, this would be just the opposite, this is inviting "the money changers" and "usurers" into Gods house. This is why the morals of this country, this county, and city cannot be controlled. We have people in leadership positions that have the morals of monkeys. No wonder idiotic scientists try to promote Darwinism in our schools.

Do the people in a congregation donate (tithe) to their church because it is a tax write off? If this be the case, Gods gonna getcha, and if the church is accepting these tithes by promoting a tax write off, he's gonna get them also.

The right to your beliefs was given you by God, not the government, and not the constitution of the United States. The Bush presidency has many times over proven this with the very true statement, "the Constitution is just a piece of --- paper!" It does not give us one single right! We get these from God! The Constitution is just a statement to prove the true meaning of the freedoms our forefathers fought and died to pass on to "we the unappreciative" of this country. We are here to protect that piece of paper, not the other way around, and damn it, I think it's about time we start doing our civic duties!

With God along side of our leaders we would never have children not getting an education, we would not have our leaders passing unholy ordinances to remove us from our own lands. We wouldn't have good people blinded by the greed that goes along with unfettered power.

But, unfortunately, these things have already happened. We have allowed illegal laws to be passed that do nothing but protect the evil and immoral people that have become "our leaders".

God has not abandoned us, we have abandoned him. We can educate our children and our grand children with the knowledge of our founding fathers and bring them back to God, so that their children and grand-children may live free. This is the predicament we have allowed to thrive, it is up to us to stop the immoral life styles and actions by people in this country even though it may be considered politically incorrect in the eyes of the evil ones trying to control our every thought and deed.

Local Resident

The Bush Agenda?

David Swanson

June 27, 2008

It's remarkably common for a grandson to take up his grandfather's major project. This occurred to me when I read recently of Thor Heyerdahl's grandson taking up his mission to cross the Pacific on a raft. But what really struck me was the BBC story aired on July 23rd, 2007, documenting President George W. Bush's grandfather's involvement in a 1933 plot to overthrow the U.S. government and install a fascist dictatorship. I knew the story, but had not considered the possibility that the grandson was trying to accomplish what his grandfather had failed to achieve.

Prescott Sheldon Bush (1895 to 1972) attended Yale University and joined the secret society known as Skull and Bones. Prescott is widely reported to have stolen the skull of Native American leader Geronimo. As far as I know, this has not actually been confirmed. In fact, Prescott seems to have had a habit of making things up. He sent letters home from World War I claiming he'd received medals for heroism. After the letters were printed in newspapers, he had to retract his claims.

If this does not yet sound like the life of a George W. Bush ancestor, try this on for size: Prescott Bush's early business efforts tended to fail. He married the daughter of a very rich man named George Herbert Walker (the guy with the compound at Kennebunkport, Maine, that now belongs to the Bush family, and the origin of Dubya's middle initial). Walker installed Prescott Bush as an executive in Thyssen and Flick. From then on, Prescott's business dealings went better, and he entered politics.

Now, the name Thyssen comes from a German named Fritz Thyssen, major financial backer of the rise of Adolph Hitler. Thyssen was referred to in the New York Herald-Tribune as "Hitler's Angel." During the 1930s and early 1940s, and even as late as 1951, Prescott Bush was involved in business dealings with Thyssen, and was inevitably aware of both Thyssen's political activities and the fact that the companies involved were financially benefiting the nation of Germany. In addition, the companies Prescott Bush profited from included one engaged in mining operations in Poland using slave labor from Auschwitz. Two former slave laborers have sued the U.S. government and the heirs of Prescott Bush for \$40 billion.

Until the United States entered World War II it was legal for Americans to do business with Germany, but in late 1942 Prescott Bush's businesses interests were seized under the Trading with the Enemy Act. Among those businesses involved was the Hamburg America Lines, for which Prescott Bush served as a manager. A Congressional committee, in a report called the McCormack-Dickstein Report, found that Hamburg America Lines had offered free passage to Germany for journalists willing to write favorably about the Nazis, and had brought Nazi sympathizers to America. (Is this starting to remind anyone of our current president's relationship to the freedom of the press?)

The McCormack-Dickstein Committee was established to investigate a homegrown American fascist plot hatched in 1933. Here's how the BBC promoted its recent story:

"Document uncovers details of a planned coup in the USA in 1933 by right-wing American businessmen. The coup was aimed at toppling President Franklin D Roosevelt with the help of half-a-million war veterans. The plotters, who were alleged to involve some of the most famous families in America, (owners of Heinz, Birds Eye, Goodtea, Maxwell Hse & George Bush's Grandfather, Prescott) believed that their country should adopt the policies of Hitler and Mussolini to beat the great depression. Mike Thomson investigates why so little is known about this biggest ever peacetime threat to American democracy." Actually, if you listen to the 30-minute BBC story, there is not one word of so much as speculation as to why this story is so little known. I think a clue to the answer can be found by looking into why this BBC report has not led to any U.S. media outlets picking up the story this week.

The BBC report provides a good account of the basic story. Some of the wealthiest men in America approached Marine Corps Major General Smedley Butler, beloved of many World War I veterans, many of them embittered by the government's treatment of them. Prescott Bush's group asked Butler to lead 500,000 veterans in a take-over of Washington and the White House. Butler refused and recounted the affair to the congressional committee. His account was corroborated in part by a number of witnesses, and the committee concluded that the plot was real. But the names of wealthy backers of the plot were blacked out in the committee's records, and nobody was prosecuted. According to the BBC, President Roosevelt cut a deal. He refrained from prosecuting some of the wealthiest men in America for treason. They agreed to end Wall Street's opposition to the New Deal.

Clearly the lack of accountability in Washington, D.C., did not begin with Nancy Pelosi taking Dubya's impeachment off the table, or with Congress' decision to avoid impeachment for President Ronald Reagan (a decision that arguably played a large role in installing Prescott Bush's son George H.W. Bush as president), or with the failure to investigate the apparent deal that George H.W. Bush and others made with Iran to not release American hostages until Reagan was made president, or with the failure to prosecute Richard Nixon after he resigned. Lack of accountability is a proud tradition in our nation's capital. Or maybe I should say our former nation's capital. I don't recognize the place anymore, and I credit that to George W. Bush's efforts to fulfill his grandfather's dream using far subtler and more effective means than a military coup. Bush the grandson took office through a highly fraudulent election that he nonetheless lost. The Supreme Court blocked a recount of the vote and installed Dubya.

Prescott's grandson proceeded to weaken or eliminate most of the Bill of Rights in the name of protection from a dark foreign enemy. He even tossed out habeas corpus. The grandson of Prescott, that dreamer of the 1930s, established with very little resistance that the U.S. government can kidnap, detain indefinitely on no charge, torture, and murder. The United States under Prescott Bush's grandson adopted policies that heretofore had been considered only Nazi policies, most strikingly the willingness to openly plan and engage in aggressive wars on other nations.

At the same time, Dubya has accomplished a huge transfer of wealth within the United States from the rest of us to the extremely wealthy. He's also effected a major privatization of public operations, including the military. And he's kept tight control over the media.

Dubya has given himself the power to rewrite all laws with signing statements. He's established that intentionally misleading the Congress about the need for a war is not a crime that carries any penalty. He's given himself the right (just as Hitler did) to open anyone's mail. He's created illegal spying programs and then proposed to legalize them. Prescott would be so proud!

Perspectives

is a place for you to voice your opinions as to what is going on in our community, country, state and the world. The size of this article will depend on you, the readers. If you have comments you would like printed here you can send them to:

The Liberty Press

P.O. Box 2743

Deming, NM 88030

Email: libertynewmexico.com

(The views and opinions expressed in 'Perspectives' are not necessarily those of The Liberty Press, the Editor or the Staff)

Commentaries: "Food for Thought" from some very thought-provoking writers. Commentaries is a Public Service Presentation printed with Permission from each of the various authors. The Ezine, NewsWithViews publishes many of their columns on the website www.newswithviews.com.



By Joel Turtel
May 31, 2008
NewsWithViews.com

DO CHILDREN HAVE A "RIGHT" TO AN EDUCATION?

One of the most common arguments that school authorities use to justify public schools is that all children have a "right" to an education. Public-school apologists claim that all children have a right to an education, and that only the existence of a massive, compulsory, government-controlled public-school system can "guarantee" that right.

As I will explain below, the claim that all children have a right to an education ends up hurting the very children it was intended to help. I will therefore ask a seemingly shocking question - do all children have a right to an education? If they do, public-school apologists are correct in assuming that we need government to guarantee that right so no child gets left behind.

What is an economic right such as the alleged right to an education? A right means that a person has a claim on the rest of society (other Americans) to give him some product or service he wants, regardless of whether he can pay for it or not. For example, if we claimed that everyone has a right to a car, that would mean if someone couldn't afford a car, government would give that person the money to buy it (the payment might be called a car voucher).

Similarly, if we say that all children have a right to an education, regardless of their parent's ability to pay tuition, then only government can guarantee this alleged right. Government has to guarantee this right because no private, for-profit school will admit a student if the parents don't pay tuition (unless the student gets a scholarship). If a private school doesn't get paid for its services, it soon goes out of business.

Local or state governments can guarantee this alleged right in two basic ways. They can own and operate all the public schools and force all children to attend these schools, or they can give subsidies (vouchers) to parents to pay for tuition in the private school of their choice. Since most school authorities strongly oppose vouchers, that means they support only a government-controlled system of compulsory public schools and school taxes to guarantee children this alleged right to an education.

But government produces nothing by itself. Government gets its money by taxing us. To guarantee this alleged right to a product or service, government tax collectors must therefore take money from one person to give it to another. They must

take from Peter to pay Paul, as the saying goes. So, in effect, a person who demands food, housing, or medical care as an alleged right, is really demanding that government tax agents steal money from his neighbor to give him an unearned benefit he didn't work for.

Education, like housing or medical care, does not grow free in nature. Just as someone must pay doctors, nurses, and hospitals for all the services they provide, someone must also pay for teachers' salaries, textbooks, janitorial services, and school upkeep. Other than air, nothing that we need is free.

The average public school now gets over \$7,500 a year per student, paid from compulsory taxes. To guarantee education as a "right," local, state, and federal governments must tax all Americans to pay for public schools. All of us are taxed, whether or not we have school-age children or think these schools are worth paying for. So when some parents claim that their children have a right to an education, they are really demanding that their local or state government steal money from their neighbors to pay for their children's education.

Here's an analogy that might help clarify this issue. Imagine that your unemployed neighbor comes to you and asks you to lend him money to pay for his children's education. You reply that, though you sympathize with his problem, your answer is no. He responds by saying that he is poor, points out that you have a big house and a job, and insists that his children have a "right" to an education. You say, "Sorry, my answer is still no because I need my money for my own children's education." Suppose that your neighbor then gets real mad, pulls out a gun, puts it to your head, and says, "I asked you nicely. I told you my children need an education. You have a job, and I'm unemployed, so you have a moral duty to give me your money." Then he clicks back the hammer on the gun.

Does your neighbor have the right to put a gun to your head and steal your money because his children "need" an education? He has no such right. Nor does he, or any number of your neighbors, have the right to rob you by getting government to be their enforcer - by pressuring local governments to take your money through school taxes. Any school system that uses compulsory taxes is a system based on the notion that theft is moral if it's for a good cause. No goal, not even educating children, justifies legalized theft.

It is only natural that all parents want the best education for their children, but do good intentions justify stealing from your neighbor? A mugger on the street who puts a knife to your throat and demands your money also has good intentions - he wants to make his life better with your money. One of the Ten Commandments says, "Thou shalt not steal." It does not say, "Thou shalt not steal, except if you need tuition money to educate your child." Since no one has a right to steal from his neighbor, no one, including children, has a "right" to an education.

Some might argue that I may be correct on this issue when it comes to adults, but surely we can't

punish innocent children for their parent's failures? Just because parents are poor or unemployed, why should innocent children suffer and be denied an education? The answer to that question is one that many people find hard to accept, yet it is true - there are no guarantees in life, not for adults or for children. Good intentions to alleviate a problem do not justify hurting other people by stealing from them. Two wrongs do not make a right.

Moreover, if we agree that children have a right to an education because their parents are poor, then shouldn't they also have a right to food, a bicycle, a nice house in the suburbs, and designer clothes? If poor kids (and all children) have an alleged right to an education, don't they also have an alleged right to everything else that other kids have whose parents are well-off? Why not then say that anyone, poor, middle-class, or rich who has less money than his neighbor, has the "right" to steal from his neighbor? Where do we stop if some people can legally steal from others because they claim their kids need this or that?

The answer is, we don't stop, and we haven't stopped. That is why our country has turned into a devouring welfare state that is drowning in debt. When I use the word "welfare," I don't mean only for the poor. Rich, poor, and middle-class alike in America now claim the right to everything from corporate tax breaks and subsidies, to price supports for farmers, to Medicare, to rent subsidies for unwed mothers. When we let government steal money from taxpayers to give unearned benefits or subsidies to special-interest groups, we open up a Pandora's box. We become a nation of thieves stealing from each other. Is this what we want America to become?

It is true that a free market does not and can not guarantee that all children have enough to eat or live in a comfortable house. Likewise, a free-market education system in which all parents have to pay for their children's education obviously can't guarantee a quality education for every child.

However, government-controlled public schools also can't guarantee that every child gets a quality education. These failed schools can barely teach our children to read. Also, neither system can make guarantees because there are no guarantees in life, and because each child's abilities, personality, and family background are so different that such guarantees are impossible. The real question, then, is not which system is perfect, but which system is more likely to give the vast majority of children a quality education that most parents could afford?

Public schools fail and betray millions of children, year after year. The only "right" the public-school system gives to school children is the right to suffer through a mind-numbing, third-rate education for twelve years.

In contrast, the free-market, while not perfect, gives us all the wondrous goods and services we buy every day, such as cars, fresh food, computers, refrigerators, and televisions. The superbly efficient and competitive free market gives us all these marvelous products at prices that most people can

afford. Even the poorest American families today have a car, refrigerator, and sometimes two televisions in their homes. If we want to discover which system would give the vast majority of children a quality education at reasonable prices, I think we have the answer - the free market, hands down.

We therefore don't need a failed public-school system to enforce an alleged right to an education, when there is no such right in the first place. Each parent should be responsible for paying for their own children's education, just as they pay for their children's food or clothing.

Finally, public-school apologists use this alleged right to an education to justify keeping the public-school dinosaur alive, in spite of these schools' never-ending failure. Many public-school apologists who claim that children have a right to an education do so out of good intentions. They want to give all children a chance to get a decent education. But good intentions mean worse than nothing if they lead to dismal consequences. This alleged right to an education lets government bureaucrats have tyrannical control over our children's minds and future.

The "right" to an education requires a massive government-controlled public-school system to enforce that right. But it is this same public-school system that cripples the education and lives of millions of children. So, ironically, the alleged right to an education is the worst thing we can offer our children.

Most low-income families don't need government education handouts anymore in the form of allegedly "free" public schools. Parents today can buy quality, low-cost food in a competitive, free-market food industry full of grocery stores and supermarkets. In the same way, parents today can give their kids a quality education using low-cost Internet private schools and homeschooling.

Only when we reject the notion that all children have a "right" to an education will we get government out of the education business, permanently. Only a fiercely-competitive free-market education system can give kids the quality, low-cost education they deserve.

© 2008 Joel Turtel - All Rights Reserved
Joel Turtel, author of *Public Schools, Public Menace: How Public Schools Lie To Parents and Betray Our Children, holds a degree in Psychology. For the last ten years he has served as an Education Policy Analyst, studying the climate of today's public schools and its effect on children and parents.*

Mr. Turtel has written two books, published over fifty articles, and has been interviewed in both print and broadcast media on the subject. His latest book, *Public Schools, Public Menace* has garnered national media attention - recently, for example, Dr. Laura Schlessinger featured the book on her nationally syndicated radio show.

Joel Turtel is available to discuss his book *Public Schools, Public Menace* in the media, at conferences, or with individual groups. Be warned though, you may be shocked by the revelations he has uncovered in America's public-school system.

Web site: www.mykidsdeservebetter.com
E-Mail: lbooksusa@aol.com

THE UNALIENABLE RIGHT TO USE ONE'S PROPERTY

By Attorney Michael Shaw
June 1, 2008
NewsWithViews.com

Our ancestors recognized the idea and the ideal that every individual possesses unalienable rights. When this idea is respected it provides great advantages to individuals and society.

The advantages to unalienable rights are:

- * They recognize and elevate humans above all other species.

- * They recognize that we each have a life that is our own.

From this philosophical premise we create systems that enable personal achievement, improve society and allow one to pursue happiness.

Many Californians have fallen for the collectivist form of societal organization.

Under collectivism, individual unalienable rights are abridged for the "common good." Ultimately, individual rights are abolished under the law.

The collectivist philosophy in action is:

- * All things fall under the control of "society."

- * In practice this requires that collectivist societies rule by force.

- * This almost always leads to rule of an individual or a small group with unlimited power.

- * They decide the "common good."

The creation of a global collectivist society is in full operation today. The collectivist society is currently being promoted in the open.

However, as more people recognize and understand their goals, the global collectivists will find their cause is best served by taking cover in a fully formed, high-tech police state. This is why globalists' are interested in accelerating

"change" in America. This high-tech police state is being put in place:

- * It will be needed to enforce and maintain the new order.

- * The time to fully implement the collectivist philosophy and enforce communitarian law is approaching.

- * Promoters of social justice plan to replace the principles of equal justice under communitarian law.

The globalist Sustainable program working goal is to restructure human nature. Restructured human nature is the central mission of their political-economic program. Globalist political-economies will be controlled by those in control of the high-tech police state.

People with some knowledge and understanding of history and who remember freedom will long for the days when unalienable and individual rights were acknowledged and protected by government.

Examples of the collectivist progression are readily seen in California politics. Organizers facilitate growing legions of mindless citizens to attack the rights of others. Their goal is to obtain and/or control what is not theirs. The reality of these examples shows us the process of America's fall into the emerging global movement. There private property will be abolished.

The globalist movement is well organized, financed and powerful. It operates using "global-to-local" "Sustainability" programs. All you need do is track Sustainable Development policy in Every Town USA.

In my County, Santa Cruz, on California's central coast, "global-to-local" activists work ceaselessly. Here are some of their tactics and practices:

- * Conform school curriculum to raise "global citizens."

- * Establish public transportation systems to oppose auto-mobility.

- * Collectivize water, timber and other natural resources.

- * Run roughshod over private land owners.

- * Create government controlled "affordable housing" projects.

Average Americans are growing aware of these issues. However, most fail to understand the full impact of what is happening. I strongly suggest they undertake a study of the idea and full meaning of "unalienable rights."

Americans need to recognize that America's unique experience is the result of our Founder's study and articulation of the principles underlying John Locke's treatise explaining each individual's unalienable right to his life, liberty and property.

Unalienable: inherent to or imbued within our being - something which cannot be decreed away.

Humans may have their natural or unalienable rights violated. But human beings are never separated from their unalienable rights. History shows that no society has acknowledged their citizens' unalienable rights. This fact accounts for mankind's greatest social travails.

The United States is the only nation in world history to politically recognize the unalienable nature of these individual rights. This is why the Declaration of Independence is generally and properly recognized as mankind's greatest achievement by earlier American generations.

John Locke's powerful phrase, "life, liberty and property" appear three times in the US Constitution. These words mean:

- 1- Life: That your life is your own. You manage and direct your life as you choose. Life is not to be dictated by ruling elite who seek to create batteries of rules to control every aspect of ordinary life.

- 2- Liberty: The freedom of action incident to and necessary for making choices that result in leading one's own life. Individual liberty necessitates a government with limited power.

- 3- Property: Executes one's expression of liberty and insures pursuit of one's life. Never forget that private property is not simply 'a thing'. It is the relationship between a person

and a thing. This relationship allows individual citizens to use and enjoy private property. George Washington described the essence of that relationship when he said: "Private property and freedom are inseparable."

America is being directed toward a collectivist course change today. At the core, this change is driven by our nation's lessening commitment to unalienable rights. Private property is being abolished step-by-step. With it will go liberty and for many, life itself.

If we are to restore and preserve liberty and live in a society which respects individuals, we must confront the on-going assault on private property.

Ask yourself: "Do I support political candidates who recognize the unalienable right of each person to the reasonable use and enjoyment of their property?"

The time has come:

- * When your failure to protect the rights of others will result in the loss of your rights.

- * It is late in the war on liberty.

- * It is time to commit and take action.

Defend the idea of the right of the use and enjoyment of private property. Understand the nature of the global orders' attack upon unalienable rights. Work to preserve liberty.

© 2008 Michael Shaw - All Rights Reserved

Michael Shaw is a licensed attorney, and Certified Public Accountant. He has made a career in real estate having developed a multi state chain of self storage projects. He is President of Freedom Advocates.org and speaks on how Sustainable Development is designed to transform America. He is also proprietor of Liberty Garden, a native plant oasis located on the central coast of California. He is a litigant in cases against Santa Cruz and Alameda Counties in connection with the application of Sustainable policy. Web Site: FreedomAdvocates.org & LibertyGardens.com
E-Mail: Shaw@FreedomAdvocates.org

Health and Nutrition: Western Medicine

Fails Tim Russert

By Byron J. Richards, CCN

Source: <http://www.newswithviews.com>
June 19, 2008

The shocking death of Tim Russert last Friday has left an entire nation wondering what happened. He was a model patient, doing everything his doctors asked. All major media have run articles trying to explain the nuances and difficulties in treating coronary artery disease. These articles find little fault in Russert's care, trying to create the idea that his heart attack was just too hard to predict and that all that could have been done for him was done. I beg to differ. His death represents the failure of standard medical care to produce a positive result – an occurrence that is all too common in today's world.

Talk show hosts lament the death of Russert as the death of an icon and one of the last men of news not suffering from flagrant political bias. His piercing, accurate, and fair questions often got to the source of many issues and exposed the truth for what it was. Now that he is gone who is left to ask the questions – even about his death?

Russert's doctor, Michael Newman, has not disclosed his medication list or any specific changes in medication doses in the past year. Why? What is he trying to hide? Russert's death is a matter of tremendous public interest, partly because the man was admired by so many and partly because there are millions of men out there wondering if they are the next Tim Russert. There is no excuse for anything but full disclosure of his health issues so that a proper public dialogue can take place that may help many others.

A Glaring Omission of the Facts

The most glaring omitted information from Russert's doctor is telling us what diabetes medication he was taking. I am willing to bet that he was taking Avandia, the drug the FDA should have pulled off the market because it causes a whopping 43% increased risk of a sudden heart attack, information the FDA actively sought to sequester during that drug's approval process. Why do I think that? Because in the scant health data his doctor is giving out he has stated that Russert had high triglycerides and low HDL cholesterol – the exact metabolic profile that Avandia is supposed to treat. When a treatment has death as a common side effect it can hardly be considered a treatment.

Could it be that Russert is a casualty of one of the great Big Pharma/FDA scams currently going on? How ironic, since all news programs are sponsored by this industry's ads and the media fought tooth and claw in the past year to ensure that

dangerous drug ads could continue to run non-stop during all news programs – exposing millions of Americans to drug-induced injury (while they got their billions in ad revenues). I am stunned that no reporter interviewing his doctor seems to be able to ask such an obvious question.

Russert's Doctor Failed Him

Russert was significantly obese and had been for many years. Any doctor that couldn't guess his coronary arteries were full of plaque is not very bright. Once a man's waistline passes 40 inches you can rest assured plaque is forming in his arteries – you don't need a test. The longer you are in this condition the worse the plaque buildup is going to be. This is not rocket science. His autopsy showed a number of significantly clogged arteries which seemed to surprise his doctor and other "experts." Apparently they forgot to take Plaque 101 in medical school. By the time Russert's weight problem had progressed to the point of diabetes a major intervention should have been done. He should have been read the riot act. He should have been told that his higher blood sugar would now be caramelizing (cementing) his arteries and vital organs, like spilling sugary syrup on the counter and letting it turn hard – and that sooner or later something would certainly break.

He should have been told that his excessive abdominal fat clearly predicts that his liver and kidneys look more like a piece of bacon than lean beef. He should have been told that his low HDL cholesterol was due to free radical damage, meaning his lipids were being oxidized in his blood from some form of toxin or stress (such as oxidized adrenaline). He should have been told that this same problem will "cook" the fat that is marbling his liver and eventually make it look like a piece of overcooked meat. He should have been told that his fat stomach was now his worst enemy, cranking out more inflammatory signals on a daily basis than any other stress he was under. He should have been told that the outsides of his arteries were also getting fat, and this excess fat was recruiting macrophages to the outer lining of his arteries that were in turn forming abnormal inflammatory gangs along his arteries that were going to drastically alter the function of his arteries.

His doctor should have scared the hell out of him because behavior changes in men seldom take place unless there is adequate pain. And then he should have given him the facts of the simple solution "Tim, all you have to do is get in a healthy pattern where you lose 20% of your weight, at which point your risk factor for a heart attack drops to close to zero. Then, if you keep losing 1-2 pounds a month you will maintain this very low risk pattern while you are getting back in shape. In fact, by losing the

weight you can go a long way towards reversing all of these problems." He should have been told that his real risk for a heart attack was based on his overall inflammation burden (wear and tear), and that if he managed this trend well he would have much less risk of any problem. He needed to start by managing his weight, because that was a major source of inflammation. He needed to make sure he got enough sleep at night to recover from wear and tear. And he really needed to watch his work load as pushing himself into the ground was no longer a safe option.

Of course I do not know what conversations took place between Russert and his doctor, but considering that his doctor is also fat it probably wasn't anything like the above and it certainly wasn't effective. What Russert's doctor did was what virtually all doctors do in this country; they pull out the Big Pharma tool box and begin aggressively treating numbers on paper instead of the patient in front of them.

Sure we can say that Russert's problems were self inflicted. But why then do we need doctors? Don't doctors have an obligation to do everything in their power to help a person get well? Or are doctor's nothing more than part of the Big Pharma drug cartel?

The Cardiovascular Drug Quagmire

Drugs buy you time if you are lucky. The problem with cardiovascular drugs that make numbers look better on paper is that they make your health worse the longer you use them – and your Big Pharma-trained doctors expect you to use them forever. It was quite clear back in February of this year, when the ACCORD trial turned in dismal results, that the greater the number of cardiovascular drugs given to a diabetic to manage his condition the more likely he was to die. Russert's doctor said he was taking a statin even though his cholesterol wasn't high. Yes, this is now standard medical care. Anyone at risk gets their cholesterol system placed in a statin straightjacket. This is one of the dumbest things you would ever want to do, since cholesterol synthesis is the foundation of survival in your body. The American Heart Association actively promotes this fraud at considerable profit for its bedfellows with consequent death for many Americans. Tim Russert is a great example proving that statins are useless – and likely dangerous. Statins are now proven to activate a gene called atrogen-1, a gene that is abnormal to activate and directly damages muscle. This reduces the effectiveness of muscles to perform exercise, reducing Russert's chance of losing weight. The heart is also a muscle, meaning that statins can induce direct heart damage via atrogen-1 activation. Statins also reduce the production of coenzyme Q10, leading to cardiac malfunction. Russert's autopsy showed his heart was enlarged, meaning it was structurally abnormal and headed in the direction of failure. This finding surprised his doctor, apparently meaning that this change in his heart was recent. Was this simply a progression of his condition or was this caused by statins? Nobody really knows, but rest assured his doctor and the general statin-prescribing community will blame his underlying health when in fact the accelerated deterioration of the heart often happens after starting statin therapy or when statin doses are raised. This is why the public needs to know what kind of statin Russert was on, what was the dose, and had it been raised in the past year. The simple fact of the case is that Russert's heart was not working well prior to the arterial clot that caused his fatal heart attack – and this means that he had less of a chance of surviving the heart attack. There is a high likelihood this handicap in heart function was the result of the statin he was taking. There is also the plain observation that

*What WAS that you just ate?
Do you REALLY know?*

Russert did not have high cholesterol in the first place, yet his autopsy showed that he had a number of coronary arteries full of plaque. What does that say for the cholesterol theory of heart disease? It means that cholesterol numbers on paper are a near useless marker of actual health. It means that taking statins didn't make any difference to Russert at all, except in a likely adverse way. And it means that nothing effective was actually done to help him. In other words, the theory of statins and trying to lower cholesterol to abnormally low levels to prevent a heart attack, as clearly exposed by the massive Vytorin drug fraud, is a 20-billion-dollar a year racket. It is propped up by groups like the American Heart Association who will do almost anything to maintain their grip on public health, power, and money – regardless of the hundreds of thousands of Americans who die each year while receiving such treatment.

Russert was being treated for coronary artery disease, which involves the use of blood pressure medications such as beta blockers and calcium channel blockers. These medications put Russert's heart in a medical wheelchair. Maybe one day doctors will learn there is a huge difference between having good blood pressure because you are healthy and having good blood pressure numbers because you are taking medication.

Blood pressure medication restricts cardiovascular function, which means by definition that circulatory pressure and thus circulation to small blood vessels and numerous end points of circulation throughout your body is reduced. This means that nutrients and oxygen do not reach many cells and organs appropriately; creating acid pH and inflammation as an undesirable side effect. This causes many people taking these medications to become fatigued and gain weight or not be able to lose weight, a problem that is generally ignored yet directly makes the underlying cardiovascular problem worse.

In Russert's case his doctor has not disclosed his exact blood pressure medications, other than to say his blood pressure had risen recently. This likely meant he increased the dose of medication. Thus, in the months leading up to his death Russert was going all out trying to cover the elections while at the same time he was taking higher amounts of anti-energy blood pressure drugs that increased fatigue and wear and tear.

The Long Plane Flight

The straw that broke the camel's back was most likely the long plane flight Russert took as he and his family returned from a vacation to Italy the day before his death. The low cabin pressure for an extended period of time, combined with the lack of movement, places significant stress on both the circulatory and lymphatic systems. This subjected Russert to a low-oxygen pro-inflammatory stress that is known to be associated with increased clotting risk – especially in somebody who is at risk in the first place. The pro-clotting strain of that flight would persist for the next several days, at least.

Russert had a combination of factors that were not working in his favor. He had just been through months of grueling wear and tear covering the elections. Tom Brokaw reported that Russert had pushed himself too exhaustion a number of times. This means he had created a baseline of wear and tear that was pushing the inflammatory limits, a problem that tends to congest his lymphatic trash removal processes. At the same time, flying in an airplane is always a challenge to anyone's lymph system, based on changes in pressure. If there is already pre-existing lymph stagnation from wear and tear – a long airplane flight can be a real problem.

Continued on Page 6 ...

Gordon Mast

STILL NO ARREST IN THE GUINEA HEN MYSTERY

I find it utterly amazing how certain stories never have an ending. The Deming Headlight ran a story (May, 28th) regarding the Deming Police Department wanting to write a possible ticket to the mystery owner of a flock of criminal guinea hens causing traffic problems on the edge of town on highway 418.

I knew immediately, when I read this article, that we would never hear the "rest of the story", as most people in the Deming area were in possession of the knowledge that our illustrious PD was in search of.

This flock of criminals belonged to Brad Barrett, former code enforcement officer, promoted to "promoter of Luna county film industry" and other such things. This is another one of those "new" positions, created for the betterment of the Luna County residents. (right)

I just hope these renegade birds have all been corralled and the proper tickets have been written and the proper fines have been paid. Or does anyone think, as I do, that this has possibly been "swept under the proverbial carpet"?

I must agree, this is such a trivial story in the first place. Why was it of enough interest that the police were actually wanting to know the ownership of these birds (did they think they belonged to some one else?), and why did it make the news? I'm sure we will never know for sure, but as you drive to check out the scenery along "418", be on the lookout for the marauding flock of criminal guinea hens.

Is The Vote Rigged? Effort To Expose Computer Vote Fraud Leads To Lawsuit

This article was made available through the efforts of the Constitution Party. I want to thank this fairly young political party for being so bold as to mention the possibility of Election fraud through electronic tabulating devices.

We have recently passed up the best opportunity to have this process inspected in Luna County. Our recent County Commissioners race on the Democratic side came up with a difference of two votes and on the Republican side only 21 (if memory serves me correctly).

There are a significant number of potential voters in this county, and I'm quite sure others as well, that just plain don't vote because they believe the machines are "fixed". I must admit, these thoughts have plagued me for a number of years also. Had this election been of a statewide nature, it would have been an automatic recount, but unfortunately on a county level a fee must be extracted from one of the contestants in order to perform this task. The fee for this operation would have been in the neighborhood of \$4000.00. A rather large amount to be withdrawn from anyone's bank account, let alone from someone just ending a political campaign, so it was not done, and the potential voters will remain skeptical until either the voting laws change or someone pays the extortion bill to have our right to a public vote count restored.

Please, do not take me wrong, I am not saying that our machines are flawed in any way. I am saying that there is doubt in the minds of the voting (or should I say, non voting) public.

Should there be an asterisk placed adjacent to the winners name? Should the Republican side of the vote also be questioned? Were there any "shenanigans" going on with our local election process? I do not have an answer to these questions that would even come close to pacifying either side, but wouldn't it be nice to know for sure?

I do know that the County Commissioner race did not satisfy me, my choice did not win. However, I believe in the voting process, too many lives have been lost procuring this right, so I will live with the results; all the way. I will however get much more involved in the process of changing the way things are done. I invite all of you to please join me. You don't have to agree with me, but get involved, do something to help. Together, and in mass, "We the People" can never be beaten.

Yours in Liberty Gordon Mast

10/16/2007 Lancaster, PA:

The Constitution Party encourages support for the National Clean Elections Lawsuit (N-CEL). The suit was filed against ten states: New Hampshire, Iowa, Ohio, Florida, California, New York, Illinois, Oregon, South Carolina, and Texas.

The Constitution Party, the country's third largest party based on voter registration (Ballot-Access News) warns against electronic voting in its platform. The party points out that since 1988 all but a handful of the 3,142 counties in the US have delegated the "counting" process, done in secret, to several mega companies, Diebold, ES&S, Hart and Sequioa. All 50 secretaries of state have approved these systems.

The Clean Elections lawsuit, in the process of expanding to all 50 states, charges that the use of any computer (direct-recording-electronic- or DRE) systems

which obscure ballots from the people for any period of time before a count is completed and the results are announced are unconstitutional.

The Constitution Party joins Citizens for a Fair Vote Count and plaintiffs in the Clean Elections Lawsuit in calling attention to the potential for vote fraud with electronic voting systems. According to the suit, the use of computer and machine election systems violates each citizen's right to vote, as defined at least twice by the Supreme Court of the United States. In 1915 and 1964 the US Supreme Court ruled that a citizen's right to vote consists of two parts: 1) the right to cast a ballot; 2) the right to know that his ballot has been counted accurately. "Paper ballots, always accounted for in plain sight, are the only option to make sure our votes are cast and counted honestly", said Constitution Party National Committee Chairman Jim Clymer. "When credentialed computer scientists

When was the last time YOU read the Constitution or the bill of Rights? How much do you REALLY know about them? Are we following the tenets and ideals set down in the these, our governing documents? In what direction are we ALLOWING government to lead us? Can we allow this to continue? Read here and decide for yourself.

even centuries in the future. In addition to discussions, the participants create written accounts of their deliberations. These emanations are closely observed by a very select small group of United Nations operatives.

When the meetings and writing are completed, this small group of observers combines the products of the meeting into a large important-looking report -- carefully editing the report so that it supports United Nations political objectives. At no time is this report submitted to the 600 plus "scientists" and like-number of non-scientists who participated in the meeting for their final approval. The report and its shorter summary report are disseminated to the public as "settled science," regardless of the fact that the scientists involved do not agree upon the text. After all, scientists seem never to agree. But the elite few who oversaw the meeting and interpreted its results are special. They are the UN's anointed messengers of the truth.

This "process" looks like "science." After all, scientists usually have academic degrees in science; scientists often have meetings to discuss research; scientists write lots of reports; and scientific meetings usually have small groups of scientists who organize the meetings and sometimes summarize the activities. But there is an enormous difference.

Scientific truths are never determined through such meetings; unsolved scientific questions are never resolved by such meetings; and scientific articles are never published unless every putative or listed author has personally approved every word of the publication.

Science has always progressed on the basis of observations, experiments, and thoughts published by individual scientists and sometimes pairs or small groups of scientific coworkers. In the early centuries of science, this publication was largely in the form of personal letters and occasional books. Now, there are thousands of scientific journals in which scientific work is published.

A few of these published articles are especially valuable; a greater number, while not remarkable, provide relative mundane studies that add to the infrastructure of science; many are not useful at all; and some are completely wrong.

from prestigious institutions such as Princeton (itpolicy.princeton.edu/voting/ts-paper.pdf) and Stanford (<http://utah-countvotes.org/ddill.html>) universities have proven time and again electronic voting systems can't be trusted, it's imperative we move to stop computer vote fraud immediately", Clymer added.

"Since ballots leave the sight of the people in the precinct before the votes are counted, breaking the chain of custody of the ballots, the illegality and unconstitutionality of electronic voting is clear", wrote Citizens for a Fair Vote Count's Jim Condit Jr.

"To make matters worse, the private companies controlling the ballots then give the "results" of electronic voting, gathered through touch screens with no paper trail, directly to the Big TV Networks -ABC, CBS, NBC, CNN, & FOX. Centralized counting and reporting have no place in a free country. While the United States government

sends monitors to make sure third world countries conduct fair elections, the fox is guarding the ballot box hen house here at home" Clymer remarked.

The Constitution Party challenges other political parties and all voters to support the National Clean Elections Lawsuit while demanding accountability from state, county and federal elections officials.

-30-

The Constitution Party will formally adopt this resolution at its upcoming National Committee Meeting 10/25-27 in Council Bluffs, Ia.

"Impress upon your children the truth that (voting) is a . . . duty of as solemn a nature as man can . . . perform; that a man may not innocently trifle with his vote; that every elector is a trustee as well for others as himself."

~ Daniel Webster, 1840

FYI: THE SCIENCE OF THE U.N.

by Arthur Robinson

June 21, 2008

NewsWithViews.com

A peculiar and dangerous virus is currently infecting American public discourse. This virus pathologically transforms our language, so that our conversations with one another become garbled, confused, and ultimately meaningless. Words are transformed into something they never were intended to mean, or robbed of their meaning altogether. "Democracy" is set to the meaning of "republic," "gambling" becomes "investment," and "vice" becomes the "virtue of diversity."

"Science" -- to which I have devoted my life -- is one of the most devalued words. And nowhere is it more abused than in the United Nations, where institutionalized mob rule is called "science."

In its headlong drive to gain the power to tax and ration world energy (and thereby control world technology -- sharing taxation authority with other governments in return for their support) the United Nations has created a "process," which it calls "science." Yet, this process is different from and, in fact, alien to science as the world has heretofore known it.

The United Nations has selected 600 willing people with formal educations in science to participate in its series of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change ("IPCC") meetings and studies. A few hundred other such people -- not quite so fortunate as to be in the select 600 -- also participate in these meetings. Some of these people are actively engaged in scientific work. Others once did so, but are now largely retired. The primary requirement for selection is a willingness to participate in the United Nations' new "process" and the agenda behind it.

During United Nations IPCC meetings -- large and small -- these people study and discuss the current and past research literature concerning climate and climate prediction, with special emphasis on the entirely unsolved problem of climate prediction for time periods decades and

As individual scientists read these articles, they use their own wisdom, knowledge, and judgment to separate new information that they find valuable from information that they find of no use.

Those who make good choices from the scientific literature and who conduct valuable work themselves push forward the frontiers of scientific knowledge. Others do not. There is an etiquette established by custom and culture in science. Those whose articles are judged of little or no value -- and therefore are rarely referenced by other scientists -- are treated with courtesy. Even the best scientists only occasionally produce seminal work and, moreover, it is always possible that the prevailing wisdom is wrong -- that an article which appears to be without value is in fact an unrecognized important new discovery.

Sometimes this process is fast because a new seminal discovery is made. Sometimes it is slow. Always, scientific progress is a result of a large number of individual decisions that trend in a specific direction. Scientific truth is never decided by meetings organized to decide which ideas are true and which are false.

If the mob rule process of the United Nations worked, many great unsolved scientific questions could be quickly solved. United Nations observers could attend scientific meetings of cancer scientists and determined the causes and cures of cancer. With the "science settled," this scourge could be eliminated. Likewise Alzheimer's disease, human aging, the origin of the universe, and other great unsolved problems could be solved. The fact is that mob rule does not work in science any better than it works in nations. No scientific question has ever been resolved in this way.

In the present case in which United Nations apparatchiks have proclaimed that human activity is catastrophically warming the planet, the human cost of error is so great that many other scientists have become motivated to individually examine the evidence. Now, a total of more than 9,000 Americans with PhDs in science and therefore professional educational credentials that, on average, equal or surpass the United Nations 600 -- and a total of more than 31,000 Americans with at least BS degrees in science have

signed a petition to the U.S. government specifically rejecting the United Nations claim that human use of hydrocarbon energy is injuring the climate. In fact, the 31,000 scientists state that carbon dioxide released by energy production is actually beneficial to the environment. See www.petitionproject.org.

These are 31,000 individual scientific evaluations. Each scientist actually signed his name and approved the conclusion -- unlike the key 600 United Nations participants who did not sign the U.N. report. Even if, however, the 31,000 had met and led each other to participate -- which they did not and even if the U.N. 600 had signed the UN report which they did not, the vote is 50 to 1, or 15 to 1 if we count only PhDs. Scientific truth is not determined by vote, but if the UN likes to vote, this way does not work for them either.

It is time to kill this counterproductive virus that has sickened American science and engineering, and get on with the job of expanding the American hydrocarbon and nuclear energy industries. To do less poses a terrible risk to America's prosperity and to her future.

This article first appeared in Human Events on 6-17-08

© 2008 - Art Robinson - All Rights Reserve

Art Robinson was educated in chemistry at the California Institute of Technology and the University of California at San Diego. Immediately after graduation, he was appointed to the faculty of UCSD and carried out research there and at Stanford University. In 1973, Linus Pauling and Art Robinson founded a research Institute that was known as the Linus Pauling Institute of Science and Medicine. Robinson was President and Director of that Institute. In 1980, Art Robinson, his scientist wife Laurelee, and several colleagues founded the Oregon Institute of Science and Medicine, where he is currently President and Research Professor.

Website: OISM.org

E-Mail: art@oism.org

Education, the great mumbo jumbo and fraud of the age purports to equip us to live and is prescribed as a universal remedy for everything from juvenile delinquency to premature senility. Malcolm Muggeridge, quoted in The Observer (1966)

Art and Community:

If you know someone who deserves recognition for their contributions to our community, please let us know. Tell us why they deserve to be recognized, include a photograph, and send it to :

The Liberty Press
P.O. Box 2743 Deming, NM 88031
Email: libertynewmexico@yahoo.com

(For return of photographs, include an appropriate size, self-addressed, stamped envelope.)

To All :

Please read the following letter to Chairman of the Police Academy and Attorney General of the State Of New Mexico, those of us that know and have evidence that many violations against the people occur daily and that those with in the system do very little if any thing to correct the misconduct by our local police officers.

How much longer will the people of this state continue to support those tasked as elected officials to respond with a can't do attitude, when approached for assistance to this or any issue expressed for redress. Whom hide and violate our rights and lie to protect the present system, and use their authority masked under the color of law. Whom pretend to be with in the law, but violate the laws under the color of law, this law is a very important law; if they violate a persons constitutional rights they loose immunity from being held accountable.

The question that should be on all citizens minds is how much longer will we allow these unconscionable criminals who pass themselves off as honorable representatives, and police officers, continue to hold us like captive slaves, afraid to complain for fear of being beat up, incarcerated or worst.

We can live as free citizens, how ever we must fight back not with guns but with knowledge of the laws, out side assistance and out side court rulings we must hold our elected officials accountable

Thanks

Paul Borunda

New Mexico Department of Public Safety
Law Enforcement Academy Board
June 17, 2008

Honorable Chairman Mr. Gary King
& Board Members
Director Mr. Ortiz

My name is Paul H. Borunda I am a citizen of the State of New Mexico and a resident of Las Cruces, in Dona Ana County.

Please allow me the opportunity to express my concern and to inject my opinion to the pending discussion (How we receive citizens complaints and whether or not we will accept them) on the board's agenda under old business at your next board meeting by date of June 19, 2008 at Red River, New Mexico.

After several discussions on this subject with the director Mr. Ortiz and also the chair Mr. King, the issue of allowing citizens direct complaints to the academy board should be examined with great care, since the citizens of New Mexico safety and Constitutional rights are the bases for the formation of the police academy and the board, created in 1969 in keeping and meeting the highest standards for training of law enforcement officers and policies and requirements.

I have read and reviewed the Training and Recruiting, Mission Statements, Law Enforcement Academy News letter of September 1, 2007 and all materials available in your web page and found Mr. Ortiz's summary and sentiments of honoring the profession and how as police officers and as board members police misconduct will and has, tarnished the badge and is a discredit to the profession and to all whom have kept the oath to protect and serve.

The issue should be not be whether the citizens have the right to complaint directly to the board, but whether the police departments as per the policy in place in Section 10.29.1.1 Issuing agency, 10.29.1.11 D. Reports, are being met by those police agencies whom should report all disciplinary actions in accordance to the policies out lined by the police academy. Also outlined it is stated that (an agency's delay or failure to report such conduct does not divest the board of jurisdiction to take action under NMSA 1978, 29-7-13 and NMSA 1978 Section 29-7C -9 [4-11-93,10-1-97,1-1-99; 10.29.1.11NMAC-Rn, 10NMAC29.1.11, 7/1/01; A01/01/04]

Mr. Ortiz states in his news letter and numbers show that in over the last six years 46 misconduct cases were reported in 2007, 72 cases were reported and as stated the increase was due to policy changes and restrictions imposed by the board or the director Mr. Ortiz.

How ever as a citizen I can only speculate what change may have made the difference, how ever what about the remaining percentage of police departments whom have not, complied state wide would increase the numbers even greater, still in violation of the policy enumerated above. I cannot speak for the entire state since my experience of police

misconduct and constitutional rights, civil rights violations, false arrest, failure to comply with arrest orders when presented with restraining orders issued by the courts, and the list goes on and on, which it is to numerous to list.

All verifiable and documented from citizen's complaints to those whom can afford legal representation, but not for those that must depend on state representation, of the public defender system.

Or for those that depend on the state as the agency for redress at all levels of our state county or city government. And now the question being entertained by the police academy board is whether to except citizen's complaints when it is not a well-kept secret the police departments are not complying in reporting misconduct violations.

It is no wonder why our judicial system is what it is and why our jails and prisons are at top capacity. I will not pretend that citizens do not violate the laws, and that those in the profession are not doing the correct thing in protection of the citizens, but are all police officers always correct, if they are then citizens complaints to the board would exonerate them once a complaint was filed and investigated.

Several questions must be answered first before the proposal is denied since penalties for none compliance under the present system lack enforcement and accountability seems to be missing from the equation.

For those of us that attended the board meeting in Las Cruces last year and approached the board with complaints, the perception by some of the board was that we were incorrect to assume that redress by the police academy board could be attained. We were mistaken, not because our complaints did not have merit but simply because we did not understand the function of the board.

For many, if not all, this was our first exposure and knowledge that such a board even existed. The unconscionable methods and actions or misconduct by our local police have not ceased, they have not been reported.

In James York vs. City Of Las Cruces, Chris Gallegos, Frank Lucero, Greg Martinez no disciplinary action has taken place despite a 10th Circuit Court, decision Case # 07-2150 - Lost Qualified immunity Mr. York can sue.

In James Bravo, Joe Estrada, Adam Ortiz, Ezekiel Diaz and Elee Silva vs. Board Of Commissioners For Dona Ana County, Christopher Barela, Ken Miyagishima, John and Jane Doe 1 thru 10 as defendants., CV-2007-2539 there will be more!!

Gentlemen if you think this is all I have to share, you are incorrect how ever I will add to this list before your next meeting in September in Silver City , it does not bring me any pleasure to have to address the board in this fashion, since from experience police officers can do no wrong and the citizens are all criminals.

Based on the policies in effect now and the board's authority I urge you to do the right thing for the people of New Mexico. Allow the citizens to complaint directly to the board of the New Mexico Police Academy.

Yours Truly,

Paul H. Borunda

WHY WILL THEY NOT LISTEN

Many things are happening in our small city. Our School Leaders are trying to do an end run around The peoples voting rights by ignoring us, but that's ok, I guess. We will just have to do it all over again!

I think maybe it's time to start asking ourselves, "why do we continue to allow these things to take place?" Don't these people work for us? It certainly does not seem so.

Let's take a look at some of the things that have happened with the School District during the last year or so. A large tract of land was purchased by the school district. were the people even advised of a contemplated purchase? Has anyone bothered to check the lineage of all people involved in this sale? I really doubt this has taken place.

A legal (and costly) bond election was scheduled after months of propaganda notices placed in the Deming Headlight and through the local radio station.

A legal (and costly) bond election took place and a surprising number of voters participated. This surprising number of voters rejected this bond issue.

Did this deter the district from it's true (unknown to the public) objective? No! This school district decided that the voters do not have enough intelligence to cast a "proper" vote, so they spend \$22,400.00 to hire an outside firm to make a telephone survey (as if we don't hear from these pests enough, we now pay them to call us) at the approximate price of \$35.00 per contact, (please say it isn't so!) only to find out that the people do not feel a new school building is warranted.

I would almost be willing to bet, that "We the People" could have relayed this information to the school board for a lot less money!

Now, because the survey, (done by experts in the field of surveys) didn't give them the answers they wanted, they are going to go ahead with a study (on their own dime, I hope) to discuss where (from the Headlight June 23) to build the new school.

Wait just a darn minute! Did we not spend a ridiculous amount of money to get answers from the people in charge? Did not these answers tell us that 62% of the people "strongly" agree and another 15% just agree that a new school is not needed?

Does something seem wrong with this scenario? Does the school district not feel that the right to vote means something to some of us? If the vote had gone in the favor of building a new school, would those of us who voted against the bond be able to spend tax payers money to do a survey to find out why it passed, so that we could launch an all out attack against it and create a new vote, also paid with tax dollars?

If, indeed the right to vote is as important to you as it is to me, this foolishness by the school board must be stopped. I also would fight just as hard to have this school built, had the vote gone the other way. Many of our sons, daughters, mothers and fathers have given their lives, so that we may enjoy this privilege and we should not spit on these many graves.

The people have spoken, now please try to do the job you were hired and/or elected to do.

Yours in Liberty Gordon Mast

Jurisdictionary

Continued From Page 1...

If you don't understand this simple truth, you don't have the slightest chance of winning in court, however in more than 22 years I saw very few proper complaints and even fewer effective affirmative defenses filed by lawyers for the other side. That's good news for you! Most lawyers don't know how to win! Learn what Jurisdictionary makes so easy-to-learn that an 8th grader can understand it all in a single weekend, and you'll have the competitive edge you need to win, even against experienced lawyers.

With the step-by-step Jurisdictionary self-help for non-lawyers course, you'll know how to allege causes of action and affirmative defenses. You'll also know how to use your 5 pre-trial discovery tools to prove the facts you've alleged by the greater weight of admissible evidence ... so you win!

Tim Russert

Continued from Page 4 ...

It is worth noting that blood pressure medication, especially if the dose is too high, is a significant handicap in terms of being able to tolerate the pressure changes and lower oxygen problem of a long plane flight. This is because the forced reduction in pressure by the medication causes the lymph system to lose "tone," in turn promoting even further lymph stagnation.

These problems were compounded by Russert's high level of triglycerides, which are fat blobs just sitting in his circulation. These are like Mack trucks

reducing the flow of traffic – significantly compounding the pressure problem of stagnation induced by the flight along with the other wear and tear factors.

Thus, after his plane flight Russert was left in a significant pro-inflammatory and pro-clotting state. If he would have taken a few days off to rest and recover he may well be alive today. But no, he dragged his jet-lagged body out of bed, put it on a treadmill for a while (inducing further wear and tear considering the circumstances), and then went off to work. He was never to return.

The Tim Russert tragedy does have a take home message for men – wake up.

GOING GREEN = \$4 PER GALLON

By Tom DeWeese
June 22, 2008
NewsWithViews.com

You hear it everywhere now. On the nightly news; in car ads; in government reports; at the super market. Instructions on how to “reduce your carbon footprint,” “save the planet” and “go green” are the new national mantras for behavior modification of our daily lives.

It’s interesting to note that as the nation jumps on the “go green” band wagon, more Americans are beginning to feel that the American Dream is passing them by. “Fewer Americans now than at any time in the last half century believe they’re moving forward in life,” concluded a recent report by the Pew Research Center. The report went on to say that today’s economic malaise caps a prolonged period during which the typical American lost ground as median household income fell and inflation increased. Smirked economy reporter David Lynch about the Pew report, “Suddenly, a nation that confidently had binged on McMansions, huge SUVs and flat-screened TVs faces a future of smaller dwellings, shrunken cars and painful credit card repayment.” Apparently, in Lynch’s world it is wrong for people to be successful, enjoying the fruits of their labor.

Lynch and the Pew report were dwelling on the economy. Funny how they seemed to fail to make the connection between the loss of American economic strength and the drive to “go green.” Is there a connection? You bet there is.

Going green (its real name is sustainable development) has led to Orwellian regulations resulting in the destruction of American businesses and the jobs that go with them, including manufacturing and industry; the locking away of American natural resources like oil, timber and minerals, forcing expensive imports; the near abolishment of private property, the bedrock of any economy’s source of wealth; and massive invasion of the farming industry to assure it is “sustainable,” including the mandated use of corn for the making of ethanol, leading to food shortages and higher prices.

Above all, the “go green” mindset has resulted in a refusal by political leaders and Congress to even consider anything but renewable fuels as a legitimate source of energy. Last year’s Energy bill, supposed to be the guideline for energy policy for coming years, literally made no mention of any kind of energy but alternative fuels like wind power, solar and ethanol. And the American people, buried under an avalanche of environmentally-correct propaganda, have blindly accepted the go green mantra, missing its connection to their economic woes.

At a time when the nation is facing an economic meltdown because of rising gas prices, oil use is ignored in the most important energy policy in the nation. Astonishing. As prices continue to rise, Washington’s response is “there’s nothing we can do.” President Bush says, “I wish I had a magic wand.” John McCain says “prices will continue to rise.” Barack Obama says “tax the oil companies,” as Congress begins a witch hunt on them, pledging the get to the bottom of their “excess profits.” Senator Barbara Boxer says “now is the best time to raise energy prices.” The Democrats want to “sue” OPEC.

In all of this rhetoric, notice how NO action that includes the drilling of oil is considered. It’s taboo. Off the table. Suffer America, because the wisdom of the day is that oil is out. Alternatives are in. Go green! This, of course, completely ignores the fact that the U.S. uses oil for 85% of its energy needs.

Such policy is driven by the Sustainable Development lobby. Led by massively wealthy and powerful special interests like the Sierra Club, Audubon Society, the National Resources Defense Council and Earthjustice, to name a very few. With their dollars and lobbyists, they are forcing Congress to implement the policies outlined in the UN’s Agenda 21 soft law document. It pretends to be environmental policy, but is really a complete transformation of our society and economy to a top down control, leading toward global governance. The environment is just the excuse to convince unaware Americans to give up their liberties “to save the earth.”

Global warming has been the most convenient threat upon which all of the rhetoric has been based. What it is really leading to is the creation of a new econo-

my based on trading carbon credits. Such policy is really nothing more than a massive redistribution of the wealth scheme designed to force industrial nations to make deals with less developed ones to trade for energy credits and get around severe energy use restrictions. It’s what Al Gore trades in order to allow him to claim he has a “zero carbon footprint” - even as he flies around the world in his jets and pays \$2000 electric bills on his Tennessee mansion. In the end, the same emissions are released into the atmosphere, but money and power exchanges hands from the industrial nations to the less developed. Where is the protection for the environment? Of course there isn’t any.

The International Energy Agency just reported the need for an “energy revolution” in which it will cost \$45 trillion to combat Global Warming. What bunk. Global warming is quickly proving to be little more than a natural phenomenon, having virtually nothing to do with man. Science has now shown that human caused CO2 emissions amounts to no more than .017% - virtually insignificant. More and more scientists - by the thousands - are now expressing their skepticism about the whole global warming theory. But that hasn’t stopped the “go green” mindset in Congress. Again, that’s because the real goal is a reorder of society and the economy - not the environment. The recently defeated Lieberman - Warner Climate Security Act was the latest attempt to “reorder” the American economy to the lie of Global Warming.

As more Americans are expressing frustration and outrage over the rising prices, demanding that American oil be drilled for Americans, the greens are in a panic to stop such blasphemy. They smugly dismiss the idea, saying such oil couldn’t possibly reach their gas tanks for five to ten years. ANWR, they say, has only enough oil to provide us about a year’s supply - hardly worth the effort.

The fact is, the only reason it would take that long to bring the oil to America’s gas tanks is because of the environmental regulations that are causing the problem in the first place. The United States is in an emergency situation. And that calls for emergency action by our leaders. This is the same country that, after being attacked at Pearl Harbor,

transformed peacetime industry overnight into the greatest war machine in history. If we wanted to we could have that oil flowing within the year.

But it would take leadership from a president who knows how and cares to lead. President Bush doesn’t need a magic wand. He has the bully pulpit. He should go on national television and address the American people and tell them what he is going to do about this crisis. He should enlist the people to help him move Congress to immediately pass legislation to open up areas throughout the nation for drilling, from ANWR; to known reserves in the Rocky Mountains; to those off the coasts of Florida and California. He could demand that America begin drilling now. And the people would respond.

Finding alternative energy solutions is fine. It will certainly be a solution for the future. But a nation doesn’t destroy itself because of an as yet, unachievable dream. A nation’s leaders are supposed to look out for their own people and keep their nation strong.

Today’s cowardly political leaders are the Neville Chamberlains of our day, giving into the unceasing demands of the modern day Sustainablist Nazis. The Greens, in their lust for control over our lives, have proven they will stop at nothing, able to lie, cheat and steal to get their way. Obviously they have no regard for the poor, who are suffering the most in this “green” society. They are stomping on individual thoughts and actions if it is contrary to their vision of a green utopia.

Going green = sustainable development = a coming dark ages for all of mankind. Americans have only just begun to experience it and they are already feeling loss and a sense of hopelessness. \$4 per gallon of gas is only the start of what is to come, unless we fight back now. We can start by throwing off the shackles and drilling American oil for Americans.

© 2008 Tom DeWeese - All Rights Reserved

Tom DeWeese is president of the American Policy Center and Editor of *The DeWeese Report*, 70 Main Street, Suite 23, Warrenton Virginia. (540) 341-8911
E-Mail: ampolicycenter@hotmail.com
Website: www.americanpolicy.org

YOUR GOVERNMENT AT WORK

Oklahoma to feds: “Don’t tread on me!” State House defends its sovereignty from D.C. intrusion

June 16, 2008
© 2008 WorldNetDaily

Steamed over a perceived increase in federal usurping of states’ rights, Oklahoma’s House of Representatives told Washington, D.C., to back off.

Joint House Resolution 1089, passed by an overwhelming 92-3 margin, reasserts Oklahoma’s sovereignty under the Tenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution, and, according to the resolution’s own language, is “serving notice to the federal government to cease and desist certain mandates.”

The Tenth Amendment states, “The powers not delegated to the United States by the Constitution, nor prohibited by it to the states, are reserved to the states respectively, or to the people.”

Traditionally, this language has meant that the federal government is limited in its scope and cannot usurp the sovereign powers of states. In recent decades, however, as the size and reach of the federal government has expanded, many have come to question whether Washington has stepped on states’ rights and gotten too big for its breeches.

Charles Key, the Republican state representative who authored the resolu-

tion, told WND that he introduced it because he believes the federal government’s overstepping of its bounds has put our constitutional form of government in danger.

“The more we stand by and watch the federal government get involved in areas where it has no legal authority, we kill the Constitution a little at a time,” he said. “The last few decades, the Constitution has been hanging by a thread.”

Specifically, Resolution 1089 says the following:

“The State of Oklahoma hereby claims sovereignty under the Tenth Amendment to the Constitution of the United States over all powers not otherwise enumerated and granted to the federal government by the Constitution of the United States.”

The resolution resolves that Oklahoma will “serve as notice and demand to the federal government, as our agent, to cease and desist, effective immediately, mandates that are beyond the scope of these constitutionally delegated powers.”

It also instructs that “a copy of this resolution be distributed to the president of the United States, the president of the United States Senate, the speaker of the United States House of Representatives, the speaker of the House and the president of the Senate of each state’s legislature of the United States of America, and each member of the Oklahoma congressional delegation.”

The resolution does not, as some have speculated, amount to secession, but it does send a warning signal to Washington: Oklahoma does not intend to be bullied by big brother government.

The Sooner State became a hotbed of federal vs. state authority clashes earlier

this month when a federal judge blocked a portion of Oklahoma’s tough immigration laws, ruling that plaintiffs would likely establish that the state mandates preempted federal immigration laws.

Oklahoma’s immigration statute, known as the Oklahoma Taxpayer and Citizen Protection Act of 2007, originated as House Bill 1804 (co-authored, incidentally, by Key). It has been characterized by USA Today as “arguably the nation’s toughest state law targeting illegal immigration.”

The statute prohibits illegal immigrants from receiving tax-supported services and makes it a state crime to transport or harbor illegal immigrants. It also mandates that businesses take measures to verify the work eligibility of employees and independent contractors.

The U.S. Chamber of Commerce and individual chambers of commerce in Oklahoma challenged the latter mandates, set to go into effect July 1, in court.

On June 4th, U.S. District Judge Robin J. Cauthron issued an injunction against enforcing the July 1 mandates.

“We’ve just had a federal judge say that our immigration law’s employer provisions are unconstitutional, claiming it as federal government territory,” said Key in response. “That goes right to the issue of (Resolution 1089). The federal government doesn’t have the right to have sole domain over that issue or many of the issues it has spilled over into.”

Though House Joint Resolution 1089 received great support in Oklahoma’s House of Representatives, it has now hit a roadblock. In the state’s Senate, where the seats are split, 24-24, between Republicans and Democrats, the resolution

was sent to the Senate’s rules committee, where it languished without action until the legislature adjourned.

According to Key, the Senate has worked out agreements on how to manage the political tie, including power given to the Democratic senators to not hear certain bills. Those senators, says Key, refuse to even hear Resolution 1089.

In the House, where Republicans enjoy a 57-44 majority, Resolution 1089 received a hearing and was supported overwhelmingly on both sides of the aisle.

“I was on the Democratic side of the floor,” said Key, “and one member went off talking about how far we’ve gotten, how bad (federal overreaches of power) are getting – it’s the kind of thing you hear in coffee shops.”

Key said his bill “is making a difference” in the way legislators in Oklahoma are talking and thinking about state’s rights. “I think it will make even more of a difference,” he said, “when I bring it up again.” He vows to put the pressure on Oklahoma’s Senate to pass a resolution like 1089, and he plans to begin communicating the cause with legislators around the country, urging them to bring up the issue in their states.

Key passed a similar resolution in 1994, when he was serving a previous tenure in the legislature. But that attempt was only a House resolution. He authored 1089 as a joint resolution because, he said, he wanted to increase its exposure. “As people who believe in this constitutional form of government,” he said, “we need to bring this issue to a national level and debate.”

Constitutional Discipline

By Michael Badnarik

Why do we have government? Do we really need it? What would life be like without it?

The absence of government is called anarchy. Anarchy isn't always mob rule and rioting in the streets, although that is one possible outcome. Our current system of government seems to have deteriorated into mob rule, and the potential for rioting in the streets seems to be increasing daily. Very few people are willing to be completely responsible for their own self defense, so a majority of people demand some form of government - but which form is best.

What is the legitimate purpose of government? The Declaration of Independence reminds us that governments are instituted among men to secure the rights of life, liberty and property. (Property was replaced with "pursuit of happiness" in a last minute attempt to include abstract rights such as falling in love.) Our right to life is based on the assumption that each of us "owns" our own body, hence our body can be considered to be property. Liberty is the power to utilize our property in any way we wish, without requiring us to seek government approval. Ergo, the fundamental reason for government is to assist us in protect-

ing our property. To anyone with a lick of sense, this concept is self-evident.

Easier said than done? In Federalist #51, James Madison observes that, "If men were angels, no government would be necessary. If angels were to govern men, neither external nor internal controls on government would be necessary. In framing a government which is to be administered by men over men, the great difficulty lies in this: you must first enable the government to control the governed; and in the next place oblige it to control itself."

With all due respect to James Madison, "the Father of the Constitution", it is impossible to oblige government to control itself. Government is an abstract idea which shields those who hold power from any liability for their actions. Hence, left unsupervised, government will ALWAYS expand into a totalitarian regime, UNLESS --- We the People constantly keep the government in check. It is possible to "bind men down with the chains of the Constitution," but only when the people function as the "lock" to hold the Constitutional chain in place. This is where we have failed.

Legend has it that Daniel Boone once said, "I have never been lost, but I will admit to being confused for several weeks." Boone's pithy comment suggests that even if you don't know where you are, there are certain pioneering skills that can help you get to where you want to be. Unfortunately, modern Americans are like naive wanderers who are lost in the forest because they don't know how to use the compass they hold

in their hands.

Everyone I meet claims to be a good, patriotic American who desperately wants to move in the direction of Liberty, but scarcely 1 in 1000 can tell me how many Articles are contained in the Constitution. Much like the novice wanderer, they hold the key to the answer in their hand, but they are clueless about how to apply the information.

We the People are the source of all political power in this country. We have the power to "alter or abolish" our form of government, with a moral obligation to "throw off such government, and provide new guards for {our} future security." Everyone agrees that something needs to change. They also unanimously agree that violent rebellion should be the very last option. Unfortunately, we have nearly exhausted most of our primary options. Freedom of speech tends to fall on deaf ears. Most protest rallies rarely boast more than a few dozen people, and letters of complaint mailed to our members of Congress simply trigger a computerized form letter that practically drips with disdain or indifference. So what is a concerned patriot to do?

First we must come to grips with the unpleasant fact that wishing will not make it so. We must take physical action. Stop making excuses and attend as many of the protest rallies in your area as you possibly can. Many battles are won by just showing up.

Next, we must put an end to our denial and accept that most of what our government does is unconstitutional and, therefore, most of our elected representa-

tives are guilty of perjury and/or treason, depending on whether or not they know they are violating our rights. We need to take aggressive measures to let them know they are going to be held accountable for their actions. This can be accomplished by filing criminal actions against them when they violate local statutes --- and the oaths of their office.

Admittedly this is not as simple as printing and signing a website petition, but it isn't so difficult that a determined patriot (or group of patriots) can't learn the basics with a little help from those who have already figured out the techniques. You can begin your legal research at www.jurisimprudence.com and www.jurisdictionary.com. Once you learn how, you may discover a deep sense of satisfaction holding your representative's feet to the fire.

On the other hand, if you haven't noticed that our republic is in serious trouble, or, worse yet, you've noticed but you think you have better things to do than rising in defense of Liberty, even the bitter words of Samuel Adams are not harsh enough to sufficiently criticize you for your apathy.

"If ye love wealth greater than liberty, the tranquility of servitude greater than the animating contest for freedom, go home from us in peace. We seek not your counsel, nor your arms. Crouch down and lick the hand that feeds you; and may posterity forget that ye were our countrymen."

If you fail to act while Lady Liberty is being raped, you have no shred of honor or dignity whatsoever. None!

WILL CALDERON AND LA MAESTRA REFORM MEXICAN EDUCATION?

By Allan Wall

June 29, 2008

NewsWithViews.com

Among the ambitious reforms proposed by Mexican president Felipe Calderon is a reform of Mexican education. Everybody agrees that the Mexican educational system must be reformed. In Mexico, education is a constitutionally-mandated civil right, spelled out in Article 3 of the Mexican Constitution. (In contrast, the U.S. Constitution doesn't even mention the subject).

Nevertheless, despite good intentions, public education in Mexico is a disaster. Not private education, which is why just about every Mexican parent with enough money sends his children to a private school. Mexico has some very good private schools. Some have a higher educational level than U.S. public schools.

That's not to say it's impossible to have a good education in a Mexican public school. But it depends on that oft-ignored factor - family background, which makes a huge difference in the success or failure of a student.

I personally work in education in Mexico, where I have taught for 15 years. It's a noble profession. But, I have to admit, it's also a profession which is particularly prone to quackery, charlatanism, and crackpot utopian fads that just make things worse for students while enriching those who promote them. This is especially true at the intersection between education, bureaucracy, politics and organized labor.

Regarding teachers' unions, I highly recommend *The Worm in the Apple* by Peter Brimelow. This book describes the deleterious influence of the major U.S. teachers' unions, the National Education Association and the American Federation of Teachers, on American education. As Brimelow points out, the problem is that public education is a monopoly, and teacher unions are a monopoly on top of a monopoly.

In Mexico, Calderon's supposed ally in Mexican education reform is Elba Esther Gordillo, aka La Maestra (the Teacher), leader of the S.N.T.E. (National Education Workers' Union). With a membership of over 1.4 million members, it's the biggest union in Latin America, and probably the most powerful in the whole hemisphere.

Frankly, when I see Calderon in cahoots with La Maestra and the S.N.T.E., it leaves me pessimistic about the prospects of public education reform in Mexico. That's because the S.N.T.E. is part of the problem.

The S.N.T.E. is not just a union of teachers, it really runs the Mexican educational system. And what a system it is, for those on the permanent payroll. What an employee of the Mexican public schools aspires to is a plaza, which is a tenured position. Once he or she has that plaza, it is almost impossible to fire a teacher. The plaza can be inherited by his or her son or daughter, or even sold. And Mexican public schools have plenty of employees who receive paychecks but don't even go to work.

To be sure, there are some good teachers in the Mexican public schools, but it's obviously such a corrupt and inefficient system, that it's not, on the whole, doing a great job educating young Mexicans. Some students graduate elementary school without being able to read.

As for La Maestra, she's been Secretary General of the S.N.T.E. since 1989 and "lifetime president" since 1994. She's been accused of assassinating a few dissident teachers, but then, her predecessor was accused of assassinating about 150.

Elba Esther's net worth has been estimated at \$70 million dollars, and she owns property in several countries, including a \$5-million dollar house in San Diego, California. Education has been very profitable for La Maestra.

Additionally, Gordillo has served in both houses of the Mexican Congress. For most of her career she was in the PRI (Institutional Revolutionary Party).

In the 2006 presidential election, however, La Maestra endorsed PAN (National Action Party) candidate Felipe Calderon (for which she was expelled from the PRI). So now she's a Calderon ally. Indeed, given how close the election was, it's highly probable that Calderon wouldn't have won without her.

This gives La Maestra an enormous amount of leverage with the Calderon administration. Calderon owes her a political favor, so it's highly unlikely she will lose any influence in the administration.

It's also highly unlikely that any "reform" will take away power from the S.N.T.E. Turning Mexican educational reform over to Esther Gordillo is like hiring a wolf to repair the chicken coop.

Teaching means different things in different places, but seven lessons are universally taught from Harlem to Hollywood Hills. They constitute a national curriculum you pay for in more ways than you can imagine, so you might as well know what it is. . . . 1. Confusion. 2. Class Position. 3. Indifference. 4. Emotional Dependency. 5. Intellectual Dependency. 6. Provisional Self-Esteem. 7. One Can't Hide. . . . It is the great triumph of compulsory government monopoly mass-schooling that among even the best of my fellow teachers, and among even the best of my students' parents, only a small number can imagine a different way to do things.

John Taylor Gatto, speech on accepting 1991 New York State teacher of the year award, reprinted in Gatto's Dumbing Us Down: The Hidden Curriculum of Compulsory Schooling (Philadelphia: New Society Publishers, 1992), pages 1-12.

Schools

Continued From Page 1 ...

The DPS studies go on to show the 20-34 childbearing age group typically move out of the area while the older, retiring age groups 50+ are currently moving in. Going by their own studies, the increase in schools and/or sizes is not a necessity.

A 'Quality Education for Quality Students' is one of the battle cries being shouted out by the school district as a reason for building a new high school. The resounding reply is - What does a new building have to do with a Quality Education?

Over the past several months we have talked to many people about the problems facing students attending Deming Public Schools. Some of the most common statements are:

"Morale among teachers is very low. There is a lot of favoritism shown from the Administration to certain individuals and the rest are treated like dirt. This creates a very poor working environment for the teachers and it is hard to dissemble so the students are not affected by the friction caused."

"Violence among the students has some teachers afraid to attempt any kind of discipline in the classrooms for fear of retribution outside the classroom. This creates a situation where the perpetrators are the ones in control instead of the teachers."

One person told us "Drug use is rampant.... you want drugs? Go to the Middle School or the High School any given day of the week. You can get anything you want - and not ALL is from the students."

"Dress Code? What's that? Many of the girls dress like hookers and only attend to attract boys who can't even think

while they are around, let alone try to study."

"Study? You've got to be kidding. If you don't have money or aren't in the 'Teacher's Pet Section' nobody cares anyway - so why bother?"

"The reverse racism in the Deming Schools is so rampant it's not even funny. If you don't speak Spanish you aren't worth talking to - by anyone!"

The above comments are just a few of the many we have heard over the past months. Low teacher morale, violence and drug use, lack of leadership, and loss of discipline are just a few of the things that can - and will - contribute to a POOR 'Quality Education' for the majority of the students in all age groups. How can students even begin to learn when they are subjected to these conditions on a daily basis? Before the Administration starts shouting from the ramparts about a 'Quality Education' needing an \$80+ Million dollar new building - maybe some in-depth investigation should be done as to the working and learning conditions within the current schools themselves.

The administrators of the Deming Public School District have so far succeeded in only one thing - putting the taxpayers of Luna County into debt for many years to come. Like our County Commissioners, DPS gives no thought to what the average family can afford. All they see is the 'NEW' this or that and the buildings they can put their names on.

DPS will continue to hound the voters of Luna County for a NEW high school. Although The Liberty Press won't be here to continue giving you all the facts on a regular basis - you can rest assured we will be here to bring you up to date when the time comes.

Myra Coyer
lunalitypress-mc@yahoo.com